

Getting ready

Sally McCallum

Library of Congress

September 22, 2020

European BIBFRAME Workshop 2020

Contents

- Early in 2020
- Target for 2021
- To get ready
 - Need robust system
 - Need editor update/redevelopment
 - Need solid BIBFRAME to MARC conversion
 - And in addition
- BIBFRAME and MARC explorations
- URIs

Early in 2020 -- we took stock

- 3 years in Pilot mode
- Modeling lessons -- FRBR theory /bibliographic reality
- Initial editor
- Training accomplished
- Cataloger commitment
- Challenge of mixture of BF input and MARC input

Target for 2021 – All catalogers in BIBFRAME

- Why?
 - Double keying
 - New cataloging guidelines – RDA2
 - Training for RDA2
 - Input environment simplification
 - Push forward on explorations

To get ready . . .

Need more robust system

- Pilot has 95 participants - 2 days per week
- All cataloging would mean 290 more participants
- Decided to move BIBFRAME system to the cloud
 - Enable system to expand and contract as processes occurred
 - Better response times
- Move completed in early August 2020
 - Complex, multi-month project but have great expectations

Need Editor update/redevelopment

- Engaged contractor experienced with UX designs and bibliographic data - SAMHÆNG
- Contractor worked closely with a small group of BIBFRAME Pilot participants (11)
- Some features catalogers requested:
 - Less nesting in interface
 - Easier to see where the cataloger is
 - More friendly navigation – keys preferred to mouse
- Began building prototype

Need solid BIBFRAME to MARC conversion

- Completed draft specifications and programs - published in April 2020
- Features we are experimenting with
 - More URIs, in addition to data labels
 - Less duplication
 - Less punctuation
 - More vernacular, non-Latin, in regular fields, not 880
- MARC records must be
 - Complete enough for our ILS to use and
 - Good for sharing with the community

And in addition . . .

- Fold name and subject authority development into BIBFRAME
- Reconcile identifiers
- Interface between BIBFRAME system and ILS
- Changes to relationship between ID and BIBFRAME database system
- Ontology stability

BIBFRAME and MARC explorations. . .

- What in MARC do we really depend on?
- Is there a configuration that would provide easier maintenance?
- Can we reduce/phase out redundant details?
- Can we increase derivation of data?
- Can our data slip more easily into the web environment?

[Quote from Niklas Lindstrom of the National Library of Sweden at BIBFRAME Workshop in 2019]

Thanks – and some URIs

- Main BIBFRAME project site: <https://www.loc.gov/bibframe/>
- LC Linked Data service where the URIs in our data come from: <https://id.loc.gov>
- Editor design documents: <https://www.loc.gov/bibframe/implementation>
- BIBFRAME to MARC conversion: <https://www.loc.gov/bibframe/bftm>
- BIBFRAME to MARC comparison tool:
 - <https://id.loc.gov/tools/bibframe/comparebf>