



- What is change?
- Should we track it?
- Can we track it?
- Will we track it?

# What is change?

• Factual property change/difference:

Pub date: 1844

• Assert something else : 1845

Call something false:
 Not 1844

Call it unclear: 184X

Call it unknown:
 Not found

Don't weigh in: "no attempt to code"

- Subject analysis difference:
  - Topics: [ "Lake ecology--Massachusetts--Remote sensing " < DLC-bib I 234> .
    - "Lake ecology -- Massachusetts" <NN-5677> .
    - "Lake ecology -- New England" < CST-1234> .
    - ]
  - Are they all making an equivalent assertion with differing cataloging perspectives, or is one right?

- Label/Access Point change vs Note change
  - Kyiv vs Kiev <u>at ID.loc.gov</u>
    - all kinds of downstream effects; in all authorities, all bibs that spelled Kyiv "wrong".

```
Vs:
note [a Note;
noteType:"contributor" <DLC- bib5678> .
Rdfs:label:"supposed compeser" <DLC- bib5678> .
Rdfs:label:"supposed composer" <PCC> .
]
```

- URI or key identifier change:
  - requires deprecation or status:invalid
- Move a name from one list to another (<u>madsrdf:DeprecatedAuthority</u>)
- How do systems handle that? Ignore, Hide, Show in different color?
- Upgrade a bib from pre-marc:
  - new lccn, cancels the old lccn, may require a new URI, deprecation if lccn is part of uri. "bflc:Deprecated"?

# What is change?

Item changes

Institution specific: change anything at will

Don't expect to reconcile with others

### • Should we track change? How?

- Recording the institution, graph ID, and a timestamp may be sufficient.
- Suppose SHARE-VDE gets a file from LC with Nquads (made up) :
  - <id.loc.gov/r/w/20225160> <bf:title> <\_:bnode175 <DLC-#20225160-20190917> .
  - \_:bnode175 < rdf-type> <bf:Title> <DLC-#20225160-20190917>.
  - \_:bnode175 <bf:mainTitle> "Demon Headmaster strikes again" <DLC-#20225160-20190917>.

- Changes in a MARC world:
  - Only at the record/graph level, and not individually dated.
  - Institution specific: change anything according to your consortial guidelines or your own rules.

## • Will we track changes?

- LC's data is still NACO and MARC bound, so it cannot show triple level changes
- But we should try to ingest edits from others to experiment with matching/deduping at the graph level.
- Other systems can track changes at the statement level
- So...

## • How can LC support tracking our changes?

- feeds that show record based changes (recent first) at least.
- "Label Changed feed" under development.
- Experiment with ingesting triples from outside.
- Work on tagging triples with date during edits in the editor, as an optional setting.

- Should we (all) track change?
- Yes:
- Track changes in minimally invasive/intensive ways,
- Experiment with how to use or ignore it at the graph or statement level.

## **Questions?**

- Nate Trail
- LS/ABA/NDMSO
- ntra@loc.gov